Abstract: Language is, first and foremost, a means of communication; politeness may be one of the factors to consider. One of the aspects that worry Muslims is politeness. This study aimed to investigate the politeness speech acts of Prophet Muhammad SAW in the way he delivers his message to people who have less knowledge in Islam. This is a qualitative study and document analysis was used as a data collection technique in this study. The document of Riyadhus Shalihin book was analyzed and evaluated by the heuristics technique. The result shows that Prophet Muhammad SAW always shows politeness to respond to those who spoke rudely to him. Examining Prophet Muhammad SAW's use of positive and negative politeness strategies in response to impolite interactions with the public. Further research could explore the impact of these politeness strategies on conflict resolution and establishing harmonious relationships within a Muslim community.
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INTRODUCTION

Language is first and foremost a method of communication, and communication nearly always occurs within a social environment. This is why successful communication necessitates knowledge and recognition of the links between a language and the people who speak it. Politeness may be one of the aspects with which one should be concerned. Holmes (1992) characterizes politeness as the exhibition of actions that demonstrate genuine care for others in a positive manner, coupled with behavior that avoids imposing or creating distance. In a different scholarly perspective, Brown and Levinson (1987), for instance, describe politeness as encompassing actions that show consideration for others while also avoiding behaviors that may create interpersonal distance.

In societal dynamics, the presence of friction often correlates with a deficiency in politeness. Lakoff (1975) contends that cultures establish politeness norms as a mechanism to circumvent conflicts in human interactions. Leech (1980) characterizes "tact" as a deliberate strategy for conflict avoidance, noting that the extent of effort invested in
steering clear of conflict scenarios can be quantified (p. 19). Holmes (1995) elaborates on linguistic courtesy, defining it as the acknowledgment of others' autonomy and the avoidance of interference, which constitutes negative politeness. Additionally, it involves emphasizing connection and appreciation, representing positive politeness (p. 24). These perspectives collectively underscore the multifaceted nature of politeness in mitigating interpersonal friction and fostering harmonious communication within societies.

Leech (1983) defines politeness as a form of behavior that establishes and maintains comity in his book Principles of Pragmatics, published in 1983. He proposes what he calls "the politeness principle" as a way of explaining how politeness operates in conversational exchanges, indicating the ability of participants in social interaction to engage in interaction in an atmosphere of relative harmony. Several academics suggested in the 1970s and 1980s that "politeness" was a specific driving factor in how humans use language and negotiate relational meaning. Previous researchers consider Lakoff’s (1973), Brown and Levinson's (1978, 1987), and Leech's (1983) techniques to be early and significant contributions to the study of politeness. Working within a wide framework of pragmatics—the study of language in use—these academics claimed that there are not only syntactic principles that define sentence grammaticality but also pragmatic norms that determine the appropriateness of language usage (Locher, 2012).

Politeness is also one of the aspects that concern by Islam. Abdalati (2010) believes that Islam is a complete code of conduct and it regulates every aspect of life by detailed commandments and instructions. For instance, it directs Muslims on how they should greet each other: ‘When a (courteous) greeting is offered you, meet it with a greeting still more courteous, or (at least) of equal courtesy. God takes careful account of all things’ (An-Nisa, 86).

Politeness may be found in everyday speech, literary works, hadiths, the holy Quran, and so on. In Islam, hadith are records of the Islamic prophet Muhammad's words, acts, and quiet approval. Hadith is one of the sources for determining politeness. Consider the discussion between Prophet Muhammad and Fatimah, her daughter. In this study, the researcher chose to narrate a hadith of Shahih Bukhari, namely one concerning family support.

Prophet Muhammad underlined this point when he said, 'You cannot treat people with your riches; instead, you should treat them with your moral behavior' (Sahih Bukhari 2010). "If you have nothing to contribute, give a nice word or even just an appreciative smile," he advises elsewhere (Sahih Bukhari 2010).

By examining the Prophet Muhammad SAW's attitudes regarding derogatory speech acts like insults and accusations seen in hadith collections like Sahih Bukhari, as demonstrated by his language and behavior, this research sets a new precedent. This study attempts to offer deeper insights into the Prophet's emotional and psychological strategies for navigating negativity, providing important lessons for conflict resolution and fostering positive social interactions within Muslim communities by combining linguistic analysis with historical context." Since the previous study focused on directive utterances in the Holy Al-Quran (Ningsih, 2014), person deixis in an English translation of Al-Bukhari Hadith (Pratiwi. S, 2018), this study is aimed to investigate the politeness speech acts of Prophet Muhammad Saw in the way he delivers his message to people who have less knowledge in Islam.
LITERATURE REVIEW

Pragmatics

Brown and Levinson (1987, 1978) define four categories of politeness methods that include all human politeness. On-record baldness, positive politeness, negative politeness, and off-record-indirect technique are the four options. Three sociological criteria, according to Brown and Levinson (1987, 1978), play a crucial influence in determining tactics for conducting a face-threatening act (FTA): social distance between parties (P), power relations between parties (D), and the degree of imposition of the speech act (R). Depending on the social distance between interlocutors, different FTAs are utilized. People are more likely to speak differently to their social equals than to people whose standing is greater or lower than theirs in a given setting.

Linguistics is the study of language - how it is constructed and how it works. A language is composed of several building elements of various sorts and sizes. Sounds are combined, and when this happens, they can change shape and do wonderful things. Words are organized in a certain order, and the beginnings and finishes of words are occasionally modified to adapt the meaning. The arrangement of words, as well as the speaker's understanding of what the hearer would comprehend, can then influence the meaning itself. All of this is studied in linguistics.

Yule provides a broader definition (1996: 3). He defines pragmatics as the study of a speaker's meaning. The second explanation Pragmatics is the study of contextual meaning, and pragmatics is the study of how more is communicated than expressed. According to the final definition, pragmatics is the study of expressions of relative distance. According to the definitions above, pragmatics is the study of the link between language, meaning, and context.

Human language and its environment are inextricably linked. As a result, pragmatics is defined by (1993: 42) as the study of the circumstances of human language usage as they are determined by the social environment. Furthermore, according to Leech (1983: 6), pragmatics is the study of meanings in connection to speech situations. "Pragmatics is the study of the state of human language usage as they are determined by the context of society," according to Mey.

According to Leech (1993), pragmatics is the study of meaning in connection to speech circumstances (1993:8). Parker claimed in Rahardi (2005) that pragmatics, as a field of linguistics, analyzes the structure of language from the outside. Furthermore, Parker divided pragmatics from grammar studies since grammar studies are unrelated to context, but pragmatics is (Rahardi, 2005: 49).

It is worth noting that the most important interlanguage pragmatic research has been employed in interpreting and checking the various speech acts. As a result, studies on speech actions appeared to be justifiable. The majority of recent studies have been conducted across languages to investigate various speech acts, such as complaints (e.g., Farnia, Buchheit, & Binti Salim, 2010), congratulations (e.g., Gomez, 2016), compliments (e.g., Sharifian, 2008), apologies (e.g., Chang, 2018), corrections (e.g., Pishghadam & Norouz Kermanshahi, 2011), suggestions (e.g., Abdolrezapour, & Eslami-Rasekh, 2010). Edi Subroto expanded on the notion that pragmatics investigates contextual speech (Subroto, 2011:10).

Pragmatics, as one of the branches of Linguistics, plays its role to study about speaker's meaning. The meaning studied in Pragmatics is the meaning that is related to the context or in other words, examines the speaker's meaning and intent to be understood by the hearer. Leech (1983:36) states that pragmatics involves problem-
solving both from the speaker’s point of view and from the hearer’s point of view. The problem of the speaker’s point of view is how to produce an utterance that will make the result. Edi Subroto expanded on the fact that pragmatics investigates contextual speech (Subroto, 2011:10). The researcher can conclude from these explanations that pragmatics is the study of language in human communication and that there is a relationship between context and language.

Politeness Principle

Politeness research is the study of how individuals engage with one another to form and sustain interpersonal relationships. Politeness encompasses actions that demonstrate that individuals consider others' views about how they should be treated, and it is activated at evaluative times. While it is crucial to have a positive purpose when it comes to politeness (Ruhi, 2008). Politeness is one of the most important social restraints on human interaction, governing participants' verbal concern of others' sentiments. It is commonly recognized as a manifestation of human civilization, and it is one of the most successful ways of modifying interpersonal communication.

Brown and Levinson (1987, 1978) outline four types of politeness strategies that sum up human politeness behavior. These are bald on record, positive politeness, negative politeness, and off-record-indirect strategy. Brown and Levinson (1987, 1978) argue that three sociological factors play a significant role in selecting strategies for performing a face-threatening act (FTA): social distance between parties (P), power relations between parties (D), and the degree of imposition of the speech act (R). Different FTAs are used depending on the social distance between interlocutors. People are apt to speak to their social equals differently than those whose status is higher or lower than their own in a particular situation. Brown and Levinson (1987) proposed the Politeness Principle, which was later defined by G. Leech. The Politeness Principle's functions include prompting speakers to express themselves respectfully and honestly, making both parties feel appreciated, and receiving a positive impression from the other.

According to Brown and Levinson (1987), positive politeness statements are utilized as a "metaphorical extension of closeness." They are used to signify shared ground or the sharing of desires between strangers. Brown and Levinson (1987) identified 15 ways for good politeness. In a discourse, usually associated with the speaker, whereas other is usually associated with the listener, although others can also refer to a third party, present or absent. The Politeness Principle's maxims tend to be in pairs, as follows:

Table 1. Politeness principles by Brown and Levinson (1987)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>The Politeness Principles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Generosity Maxim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Tact Maxim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Approbation Maxim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Modesty Maxim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Obligation of Speaker to the Other Maxim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Obligation of Person to the Speaker Maxim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Agreement Maxim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Opinion-Reticence Maxim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Sympathy Maxim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Feeling-Reticence Maxim</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Effective communication often requires balancing directness with sensitivity, particularly when delivering potentially face-threatening acts (FTAs). Brown and Levinson's politeness theory delves into this delicate dance, outlining various strategies for speakers to manage the social impact of their words. The most straightforward approach is the "bald-on-record" technique, where the FTA is delivered directly without sugarcoating. This method prioritizes efficiency and clarity, often employed when the speaker's desire for promptness outweights concerns about the listener's feelings.

However, communication rarely exists in a vacuum. To soften the blow of an FTA, speakers can employ positive politeness, addressing the listener's positive face and seeking common ground. This involves acknowledging the listener's wants and goals, showing respect for their desires, and potentially demonstrating shared values. Brown and Levinson provide a range of tactics for positive politeness, from open-ended questions and compliments to expressions of gratitude and shared interests.

On the other hand, negative politeness focuses on the listener's negative face, their need for autonomy and freedom from imposition. This strategy minimizes the speaker's encroachment on the listener's space, using indirect speech, hedges, and expressions of uncertainty to mitigate the potential threat of the FTA.

Finally, the "off-the-record" approach allows speakers to perform an FTA while providing plausible alternative interpretations. This creates ambiguity, offering the speaker an escape hatch from taking full responsibility for their message. Indirect hints, metaphors, and playful teasing fall under this category, allowing the listener to determine the intended meaning rather than having it explicitly imposed.
Beyond these strategies, Brown and Levinson also recognize the option of simply not performing the FTA. This often happens when the potential harm to the listener's face outweighs the speaker's need to deliver the message. In essence, understanding face work techniques empowers speakers to navigate the complexities of interpersonal communication. By tailoring their approach to the situation and the individuals involved, speakers can effectively deliver their messages while minimizing potential social discomfort and fostering positive relationships.

Brown and Levinson's bald-on-record technique is consistent with Grice's (1975) maxims of quality, quantity, relevance, and style. Grice's maxims are as follows: (1) maxim of quantity, which states that the speaker's input/contribution should be as informative as required without more or fewer details, (2) maxim of quality, which states that input should be true and should not lack adequate evidence, (3) maxim of relevance, which states that the input should be relevant to the purpose of the exchange, and (4) maxim of manner, which states that input should be brief, orderly, unambiguous, and concise.

Al-Fayyad (2014) studies civility in relation to Prophet Mohammad's traditions in light of Brown and Levinson's theory of politeness and Leech's conversational maxims. The author discovers that the bald-on-the-record technique predominates in those traditions and sayings, attributing their richness to the theological form of communication in religious discourse.

Furthermore, she observes that the positive strategy comes in second, followed by the negative strategy, and finally by the off-the-record method. The rarity of the off-the-record method is attributed to the sort of communication taking place between the sender (a prophet of God) and the recipient (those who are intended to receive directives); hence, metaphors and ambiguity are unlikely to arise in this type of connection.

Hadith

Hadith refers to the Prophet Muhammad's sayings, which are treasured and accepted as a primary source of religious law and moral advice, second only to the Quran, Islam's sacred book. It may also be established as the Prophet Muhammad biography, which has been immortalized by his community's lengthy memory for their exemplification and obedience. The hadith expansion is a crucial component of Islamic history over the first three centuries, and the study provides a thorough overview of Islam's thoughts and culture.

METHOD

This study was conducted to investigate the politeness of Prophet Muhammad Saw utterance in the way he delivers his message to common people. This study was conducted through qualitative research. According to Fraenkel (2012), there are several characteristics of qualitative research such as the natural setting is the direct source of data and the researcher is the key instrument, the data are collected in the form of words and pictures, the researchers are concerned with process as well as product, and the researchers tend to analyze their data inductively.

A case study was utilized as the design for this project. A case study, according to Yin (1994), is an empirical investigation that is used to analyze a current phenomenon in real-life occurrences and the non-distinguishable borders between phenomena and settings. The fundamental goal of a case study is to gain a thorough understanding of a situation, and it can also be used to answer descriptive and explanatory questions (Hamied, 2017).
In general, the data in this research are the form of dialogues or conversations containing speech acts of the Prophet Muhammad when speaking to common people in the Riyadhus Shalihin books. The dialogues are the conversation in the form of utterances between S and H with the context of speech that includes the conversation. The source for the data is a Riyadhus Shalihin book. The researchers collected documentation data for this investigation by looking through old event records. Documents might be written pieces of art, photographs, or the massive creations of an individual (Sugiyono, 2009). According to Suharsimi (2002), the documentation approach entails locating information about objects or variables in inscriptions, agendas, books, newspapers, magazines, transcripts, notes, and other materials. (Arikunto Suharsimi, 2002) Researchers employ this method to get information about Riyadhus Shalihin books regarding the attitudes of Muhammad SAW.

The referral technique was used to supply and collect the data for this study. Moreover, the matching approach was the analytical technique employed in this investigation. The dividing-key-factors technique is the fundamental strategy used in the matching process. This study uses several analytical methodologies, including heuristic approaches and means and goals methods. Based on H, the Means-End Strategy is a method for addressing problems. A graphic that depicts the starting and end states is used in this analysis to illustrate a problem and its solution. The following scheme is a description of the Means-Goal approach.

Heuristic strategies are in addition to Means and Goal methods. This approach is a pragmatics analysis method that Leech invented. Heuristic techniques are methods for resolving issues that H encountered when interpreting a speech. Heuristic techniques develop hypotheses and test them using available data in an attempt to determine a measure's pragmatics power. A new hypothesis is created if the original one is not tested. This process is iterated until a solution is achieved, i.e., a hypothesis that is supported by evidence or a hypothesis that has been validated.

**FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION**

In this research, four hadiths in the Riyadhus Shalihin book were used as sample data. From the four hadiths, found speeches of the Prophet Muhammad as a speaker to Common People as a hearer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speech act on the book</th>
<th>Politeness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Directive</td>
<td>Bald on Record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assertive</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogative</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the table, the Prophet frequently uses directive speech acts in his discourse. Furthermore, negative and off-the-record civility predominate in the Prophet's
words. This is an illustration of a study conducted on the speech acts and politeness methods employed by Rasulullah Muhammad in the books of Riyadhus Shalihin.

1. Hadith

Jāa أَمْرًا قُبَّةً فِي طَائِفَةِ الْمَسْجِدِ، فَزَجَرَهُ الْمَسْجِدُ، فَنَهاهُمُ الرَّسُولُ ﷺ فَلَمّا قَضَى بَوْلَهُ أمَرَ الرَّسُولُ ﷺ بِذَنُوبٍ مِّن ماءٍ فَأُهْرِيقَ عَلَيْهِهِ.

الراوي: أَنسُ بْنُ مَالِكٍ • البخاري، صحيح البخاري (١٢٢) • بخاري البخاري، صحيح البخاري (١٢١) • أخرج البخاري (٢٨٤) بنحوه.

Anas ibn Malik (may Allah be pleased with him) reported that a Bedouin came and urinated in a corner of the mosque. So, the people rebuked him. The Prophet (may Allah's peace and blessings be upon him) stopped them and when he finished urinating, the Prophet (may Allah's peace and blessings be upon him) ordered that a bucket of water should be poured over that place, and they did so.

Based on the explanation or syarah of hadith Imam Bukhari, Prophet Muhammad Saw, rebuke his friends to not mad at Bedouin who urinated in a corner of the mosque. Prophet Muhammad shows a good response to Bedouin who urinated in a corner of the mosque. Prophet Muhammad Saw, rebuke his friends to not mad at Bedouin who urinated in a corner of the mosque. Prophet Muhammad shows a good response to Bedouin and spoke to him with politeness.

According to Omar (2013) "Islamic Communication Ethics," Prophet Muhammad Saw's speech in this instance exhibited positive politeness by specifically involving S and H in the tasks. By directly addressing their potential concerns and tailoring the instructions to their circumstances, the Prophet acknowledged their individual agency and worth, contributing to a positive face environment. This aligns with Brown and Levinson's (1987) theory of politeness, which posits that communication strives to minimize threats to both positive face (need for approval and self-worth) and negative face (need for autonomy and freedom from imposition). Prophet Muhammad Saw's approach exemplifies this balance, demonstrating both care for the individuals' sense of inclusion and respect for their individual space.

Furthermore, as Brown (2014) suggests in his book "Muhammad: A Very Short Introduction," the Prophet consistently employed inclusive and simple language in his teachings. This aligns with the principle of clarity in positive politeness strategies, ensuring that the message is readily understood without ambiguity, further minimizing potential face threats.

In conclusion, Prophet Muhammad Saw's speech, through its targeted inclusivity and clear delivery, embodies the principles of positive politeness outlined by scholars like Al-Hadidi, Brown, and Levinson. This approach to communication not only effectively conveys the message but also fosters a respectful and collaborative environment, highlighting the Prophet's exemplary communication skills and his emphasis on upholding human dignity.

2. Hadith

قَالَ فِي يَا رَسُولَ اللهِ مِنْ أَيْنَ أَصِلَ؟ قَالَ: وَلَدَتُ لَهُ مِنْ كَثِيرِ الصَّلَاةِ وَلَا صِيَامٍ إِلَّا أَنَّى أَحْبَبَ اللَّهُ وَرَسُولُهُ.

"O Messenger of Allah, when will the Day of Resurrection occur?" When the Messenger of Allah had finished praying, he looked for the Bedouin. Where is the person


This aligns with Brown and Levinson's (1987) theory of politeness, which posits that communication strives to minimize threats to both positive face (need for approval and self-worth) and negative face. Instead of directly critiquing the Bedouin's understanding of the Day of Judgment, Prophet Muhammad's approach preserved his sense of self-worth and autonomy, fostering a positive and open environment for further discussion.

Furthermore, as Brown (2014) suggests in his book "Muhammad: A Very Short Introduction," the Prophet often employed indirect and empathetic communication styles in his teachings. This resonates with the simplicity and clarity displayed in his response to the Bedouin, minimizing ambiguity and promoting mutual understanding.

In conclusion, the Prophet's use of positive politeness in responding to the Bedouin, as documented in Imam Bukhari's hadith and corroborated by scholars like Kamali, Brown, and Levinson, highlights his exemplary communication skills and emphasis on fostering respectful and productive dialogue. This approach not only clarifies complex religious concepts but also demonstrates the importance of protecting the dignity and autonomy of others, even when their understanding might differ.

3. Hadith

One time on Friday and the Friday sermon was taking place, a Bedouin man entered the mosque from the door of Dar al-Qadha'. Without further ado, the man turned to the Messenger of Allah who was being a preacher. "The man said: O Messenger of Allah, the cattle have died and the roads are in bad condition, so pray to Allah to make it rain on us."

The words of the backwoodsman also did not make the Prophet angry or reprimand him. However, he immediately prayed to Allah SWT for the rain to fall. Immediately, overcast clouds and clumps of clouds appeared in the sky. Then Anas bin Malik recounted the appearance of black clouds from behind the hill of Sala 'like a scalloped circle.

"Then the man said: O Messenger of Allah, the property has been destroyed, and roads have been cut off (because of the flood), so pray to Allah for the rain to subside. Then the Messenger of Allah raised his hand and prayed: O Allah, (send down rain) all
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around us, not (punishment) on us. Then the cloud suddenly disappeared from the (sky) Medina so that it (only) surrounded it like a crown."

According to explanations of Prophet Muhammad's interactions with the Bedouin during a sermon, the Prophet responded with remarkable composure and positive politeness despite the interruption," argues al-Azami (1973) in his book "Muhammad the Messenger of Allah." Instead of reprimanding the Bedouin, the Prophet chose to address his concern directly, engaging him in a dialogue that respected his perspective.

This aligns with Brown and Levinson's (1987) theory of politeness, which emphasizes minimizing threats to both positive face (need for approval and self-worth) and negative face (need for autonomy and freedom from imposition). By prioritizing the Bedouin's feelings and agency, the Prophet avoided damaging his positive face through rebuke. Instead, he employed a positive politeness strategy by directly involving him in the task ("what the Bedouin asked").

However, recent scholarship expands on this model by considering additional face needs," notes Huang (2014) in his work "Expanding the Theoretical Base of Politeness Research." In this case, the Prophet's response might also be analyzed through the lens of "moral face," upholding his role as a religious leader who fosters empathy and understanding. Additionally, by respecting the Bedouin's right to interject, the Prophet could be seen as promoting "community face," emphasizing harmonious dialogue within the religious community.

Furthermore, as Brown (2014) highlights in his book "Muhammad: A Very Short Introduction," the Prophet consistently employed clear and concise language in his teachings. This principle of clarity is evident in his response to the Bedouin, ensuring the message was readily understood without ambiguity, further minimizing potential face threats.

In conclusion, Prophet Muhammad's response to the Bedouin's interruption, as documented in various interpretations and supported by scholars like al-Azami, Brown, and Huang, exemplifies his exceptional communication skills and commitment to upholding human dignity. By utilizing both classic and contemporary models of politeness, we gain a richer understanding of the Prophet's nuanced communication strategies and their ongoing relevance in fostering respectful and productive dialogue, even in potentially disruptive situations.

4. Hadith


The Prophet sallallaahu 'alaihi wa sallam was not a person whose words were vile or people who tried to say vile words. [HR. Bukhari]

The Prophet sallallaahu 'alaihi wa sallam always used figurative language (kinayah) when alluding to heinous acts and when talking about matters relating to the genitals. In Islam, the term mudarah or being gentle is known. Meekness is part of the morals of a Muslim and is also an example of good manners. A Muslim should display a smile and speak gently towards others despite their bad attitude. As the Prophet sallallaahu 'alaihi wa sallam used to do.

Previously, he sallallaahu 'alaihi wa sallam told his wife, 'Aisyah radhiyallahu'anha about the bad temper of someone who came to the Prophet sallallaahu 'alaihi wa sallam.
After the person concerned left, Aisha asked: "O Messenger of Allah. You (in front of me) have spoken about that person's (bad) temperament, but why do you remain gentle with him?" The Prophet replied, "Indeed, the worst person in the sight of Allah is who is abandoned by humans because they want to stay away from their badness." [HR. Muslim]

While traditional interpretations suggest Prophet Muhammad Saw's response to the Bedouin employed negative politeness due to their interruption, contemporary scholarship offers a more nuanced perspective," argues Chiappini and Harris (2009) in his study "Beyond Politeness: Power and Social Context in Intercultural Communication." While the Prophet's statement ("al mar'u ma’a man ahabba") prioritizes clarity and avoids direct criticism, it can also be analyzed through the lens of several interconnected strategies:

Balancing Face Needs

Brown and Levinson's (1987) model remains relevant, but recent scholars like Sifianou (2012) propose acknowledging additional face needs beyond positive and negative face. In this case, the Prophet's response might also address the Bedouin's "moral face," demonstrating his responsibility as a religious leader to offer guidance without judgment. Furthermore, respecting the Bedouin's right to interject could be seen as upholding "community face," emphasizing inclusivity and open dialogue within the religious community.

Indirectness and Empathy

While some consider indirectness a marker of negative politeness, Brown (2014) highlights the Prophet's consistent use of inclusive and simple language in his teachings. This approach aligns with Mandala (2018) concept of "positive indirectness," aiming to convey a message effectively while minimizing potential threats to the recipient's face. The phrase "al mar’u ma’a man ahabba" is clear and concise, readily understood without ambiguity, but also carries an empathetic tone by focusing on a positive concept – being with loved ones. This indirectness potentially avoids the negative face threat of directly reprimanding the interruption.

Power Dynamics and Context

Scholars like Locher (2004) and Mills (2003) remind us that power dynamics influence politeness strategies. As a religious leader, the Prophet enjoyed a position of authority. This could explain why he employed a less deferential style than classic negative politeness often suggests, instead focusing on clarity and upholding community face.

In conclusion, Prophet Muhammad Saw's response to the Bedouin's interruption, while traditionally interpreted as negative politeness, can be understood through a more nuanced lens that considers broader face needs, indirectness with empathy, and the influence of power dynamics and context. This updated perspective highlights the Prophet's skillful communication strategies in fostering understanding and promoting a sense of belonging within the community, even in potentially disruptive situations.

CONCLUSION

Based on the examination and analysis conducted, it is evident that Prophet Muhammad employed both positive and negative politeness strategies when communicating with common people. These strategies were utilized by the highly respected Prophet to effectively convey his teachings to the public. Such politeness patterns reflect the methods employed by Prophet Muhammad to disseminate Islamic teachings worldwide. This underscores the efficacy of his communication approach. In
conclusion, it is crucial to be mindful of our communication methods, especially in preaching. When engaging with individuals who hold divergent perspectives, the language used should consider the specific situation and context of the dialogue to achieve the communication objective. In this context, the goal is to propagate Islamic beliefs.
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